# Interpolymer Associations Between Poly(vinyl alcohol) and Poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) in Aqueous Dilute Solution

# Chamekh Ould M'Bareck,<sup>1</sup> Michel Metayer,<sup>2</sup> Michel Labbe<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université de Nouakchott, F.S.T: P. B. 5026, Nouakchott, Mauritania <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire: P.B.M. UMR 6522, Université de Rouen, 76821 Mont-Saint-Aignan, France

Received 16 July 2001; accepted 18 December 2001

**ABSTRACT:** This article studied the compatibility of poly-(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) with poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDADMACl) in a dilute aqueous solution. At a total mixture concentration and a constant molecular weight of PDADMACl, it was found that interpolymer associations increase with the molecular weight and decrease with the degree of hydrolysis of the PVA sample (87–89 and 98– 99%). From these results, it can be deduced that the compatibility of PVA and PDADMACl is due to specific intermolecular interactions that could be assigned mainly to electrostatic interactions between hydroxyl groups within PVA chains and ion atoms within PDADMACl. © 2002 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 86: 433–435, 2002

**Key words:** poly(vinyl alcohol); poly(diallyldimethyl ammonium chloride); dipole–ion interactions; compatibility; viscosity

# INTRODUCTION

One of the main advantages of the viscosimetric method is the characterization of macromolecules in a dispersed state (solution). Normally, the ability of two polymers to develop attractive or repulsive interactions is associated, respectively, to an increase or decrease of mixture viscosity.<sup>1–8</sup>

Many theoretical models were proposed to characterize the behavior of two polymers in an aqueous solution.<sup>6–11</sup> Here, we applied the Staikos et al.<sup>7,8</sup> model. It was proposed to study the interactions between nonionic and ionic polymers (polyelectrolytes) in a dilute aqueous solution.

Two polymers are considered compatible when their experimental reduced viscosity is higher than is their theoretical one. The latter is calculated from the following equation:

$$(\eta_{\rm sp}/C)_{\rm theo} = W_1(\eta_{\rm sp1}/C_1) + W_2(\eta_{\rm sp2}/C)$$

where  $(\eta_{sp}/C)_{theo}$  is the theoretical reduced viscosity of a polymer mixture;  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , respectively, the weight fractions of an ionic and a nonionic polymer in a mixture;  $(\eta_{sp1}/C_1)$ , the reduced viscosity of an ionic polymer at different concentrations  $C_1$  at which it exists in the different compositions of the mixture; and  $(\eta_{sp2}/C)$ , the reduced viscosity of the nonionic polymer at concentration *C*.

To make the examination of polymer–polymer compatibility easy, we use the ratio of the experimental to theoretical reduced viscosity  $\tau = (\eta_{sp}/C)_{exp}/(\eta_{sp}/C)_{theo}$ . Two polymers will be considered compatible when the value of this ratio is higher than 1 and of poor compatibility in the opposite case.

In this investigation, we studied the compatibility of poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) and poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDADMACl) polymers in a dilute aqueous solution. This system is used to prepare an ion-exchange membrane of a semi-interpenetrating polymer network structure, where it is expected that the compatibility of polymers enhances the ion-exchange capacity of the membranes.<sup>12</sup> We focused our attention on the dependence of polymer compatibility on the molecular weight ( $M_w$ ) of PVA and its degree of hydrolysis (DH) and total mixture concentration. The polymers chemical structures are



Poly(diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride) PDADMACl

Correspondence to: C. M. M'Barek (chamec@univ-nkc.mr).

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 86, 433–435 (2002) © 2002 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

1.7				
	¥	×		
1.45 -	¥ ·	•		
τ		<b>A</b>		
1.2 -			<b>*</b>	
				4
0.95			2	
0	0.25	0.5	0.75	1
			Wodada	macl

Figure 1 Ratio of experimental reduced viscosity on theoretical one  $[\tau = (\eta_{\rm sp}/C)_{\rm exp}/(\eta_{\rm sp}/C)_{\rm theo}]$  via PDADMACI fraction ( $W_{\rm PDADMACI}$ ) of (**II**) PVA87\13/PDADMACI mixture, (▲) PVA87/31/PDADMACl mixture, (♦) PVA87/85/ PDADMACl mixture, and (\*) PVA87\124/PDADMACl mixture.

It is well known that, with an increase of molecular weight, in absence of specific interactions like hydrogen bonds or strong attractive electrostatic interactions, the mixture entropy tends to zero and the chance of polymer compatibility is reduced.<sup>13</sup>

Here, contrary to our expectations, the results show that with increase of the molecular weight the PVA and PDADMACl compatibility increases. Therefore, both polymers develop specific interactions that are capable of offseting the reduction of the mixture entropy and provoking polymer compatibility.<sup>14,15</sup>

Thus, the compatibility of PVA with PDADMACl, in a dilute aqueous solution, is due to specific intermolecular interactions. These interpolymer interactions could be assigned to attractive electrostatic forces that associate hydroxyl groups within PVA chains and ions atoms as nitrogen within PDADMACl chains.

### Influence of DH of PVA sample

For this case, we examined two PVA samples having the same molecular weight ( $M_w = 124,000-186,000$ )



**Figure 2**  $\tau = (\eta_{sp}/C)_{exp}/(\eta_{sp}/C)_{theo}$  via  $W_{PDADMACl}$  for (\*) PVA87\124/PDADMACl mixture and (•) PVA98\124/ PDADMACl mixture.

Characteristics of the Used Polymers				
Symbol	Hydroxyl	Acetate	Supplied M <sub>w</sub>	
sample	(%)	(%)		
PVA87/13	87–89%	13–11%	13,000–23,000	
PVA87/31	87–89%	13–11%	31,000–50,000	
PVA87/85	87–89%	13–11%	85,000–146,000	
PVA87/124	87–89%	13–11%	124,000–186,000	
PVA87/124	98–99%	02-01%	124,000–186,000	
PDADMACl	—		400,000–500,000	

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI) supplied the PVA and PDAD-MACl polymers, which were used without any further purification. Their properties are summarized in Table I.

PVA and PDADMACl solutions were prepared separately by dissolving 2 g of the polymer in 98 mL of deionized water and heating under reflux, with stirring, at 90°C for 1 h. Afterward, the solutions were cooled at room temperature. The two solutions were mixed in defined proportions (volume/volume) to obtain 1, 1.5, or 2 g/dL as the total mixture concentration.

For the viscosity measurements of the PVA and PDADMACl mixtures, we used a Couette-type viscosimeter ("low shear rheometer 30" from Contraves) at shear rates ranging from  $3.23-5.96 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . To assure the establishment of interpolymer association, the viscosity measurements were done at 25°C and at least after 14 h of polymer mixing.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The main parameters that we took into account are the effect of the hydroxyl content (DH), the molecular weight  $(M_w)$  of the PVA sample, and the total mixture concentration.

#### Influence of the molecular weight of PVA sample

In this study, many PVA samples having different molecular weights were used to determine the influence of this parameter on polymer compatibility. At a constant total mixture concentration, C = 2 g/dL. We examined four PVA samples having the same DH (87–89%) but different molecular weights ( $M_w$ = 13,000-23,000, 31,000-50,000, 85,000-146,000, and124,000-186,000).

Figure 1 shows that, whatever the molecular fraction of PDADMACl ( $W_{PDADMACl}$ ), the ratio values of the experimentally reduced viscosity to the theoretical one are always higher than is the limit of compatibility (-) criterion. In any case, this behavior proves that PVA and PDADMACl are totally compatible in these conditions. But polymer compatibility increases slightly with the molecular weight of the PVA sample.



**Figure 3**  $\tau = (\eta_{sp}/C)_{exp}/(\eta_{sp}/C)_{theo}$  via  $W_{PDADMACI}$  for ( $\blacktriangle$ ) PVA87/124/PDADMACI mixture, C = 2 g/dL, ( $\blacksquare$ ) PVA87/124/PDADMACI mixture, C = 1.5 g/dL, and ( $\blacklozenge$ ) PVA87/124/PDADMACI mixture, C = 1 g/dL.

but different DHs (87–89 and 98–99%). The total mixture concentration was C = 2 g/dL. Figure 2 indicates that PVA and PDADMACl are compatible whatever the fraction of PVA or PDADMACl. But this compatibility increases inversely with the DH. Here, the slight increase of polymer compatibility with the reduction of the DH could be attributed to the increase of acetate groups, which reduce the intramolecular interactions among hydroxyl groups within the PVA chains and favor the interpolymer association between PVA and PDADMACl segments.<sup>16</sup>

## Influence of mixture concentration

Three mixtures of different total concentrations of 1, 1.5, and 2 g/dL were examined to verify the influence of the total mixture concentration. As represented in Figure 3, it can be deduced that interpolymer associations increase with the total mixture concentration. It can be supposed that increase of the total concentration enhances the probability of polymer contact<sup>17,18</sup> and intermolecular interactions. But these results cannot be generalized.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Owing to the high limpidity of the PVA and PDAD-MACl mixtures, viscosity was considered as a sufficient technique to investigate the compatibility of these polymers in a dilute aqueous solution. The results of this investigation prove that, in a dilute aqueous solution, PVA and PDADMACl develop interpolymer associations that are responsible for their compatibility. Contrary to thermodynamic waiting, the compatibility improves slightly with increase of the molecular weight of the PVA sample. Furthermore, this compatibility was enhanced by the augmentation of the percentage of acetate groups. These associations could be ascribed to dipole–ion interactions between hydroxyl groups within the PVA chains and ion atoms in the polyelectrolyte chains.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Braun, D.; Leiss, D.; Bergmann, M. J.; Hellmann, G. P. Eur Polym J 1993, 29, 225.
- 2. Bohmer, B.; Berek, D.; Florian, S. Eur Polym J 1970, 6, 471.
- 3. Thyagarajan, G.; Janarthanan, V. Polymer 1989, 60, 1797.
- Ping, Z. H.; Nguyen, Q. T.; Néel, J. Makromol Chem 1990, 191, 185.
- 5. Zhang, X.; Takegoshi, K.; Hikichi, K. Polym J 1991, 23, 87.
- Kulshershtha, A. K.; Singh, B. P.; Sharma, Y. N. Eur Polym J 1988, 24, 29.
- Parets, M. J.; Garcia, R.; Soria, V.; Campos, A. Eur Polym J 1990, 26, 767.
- 8. Soria, V.; Figueruelo, J. E.; Campos, A. Eur Polym J 1981, 17, 137.
- 9. Staikos, G.; Tsitsilianis, C. J Appl Polym Sci 1991, 42, 867.
- 10. Staikos, G.; Bokias, G. Makromol Chem 1991, 192, 2649.
- 11. Fuoss, R. M. J Polym Sci 1948, 3, 603.
- 12. Metayer, M.; Chamekh, M. O. M. React Funct Polym 1997, 33, 311–321.
- Olabisi, O.; Robeson, L. M. Polymer–Polymer Miscibility; Academic: New York, 1979; p 108.
- 14. Smith, P.; Eisenberg, A. J Polym Sci Polym Lett Ed 1983, 21, 223–230.
- 15. Hara, M.; Eisenberg, A. Macromolecules 1987, 20, 2160-2164.
- Nguyen, T. Q.; Essamri, A.; Clément, R.; Néel, J. Makromol Chem 1987, 188, 1973.
- 17. Iliopoulos, I.; Halary, J. L.; Audebert, R. J. Polym Sci Polym Chem 1988, 26, 275.
- M' Bareck, C. D.; Metayer, M.; Langevin, D.; Roudesly, S. J Appl Polym Sci 1996, 62, 161.